

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/668,296	09/25/2000	Makoto Hirahara	HIRAHARA=1	1273		
1444	7590 06/15/2004	EXAMINER				
	AND NEIMARK, P.I	SHAFFER	SHAFFER, ERIC T			
624 NINTH SUITE 300	STREET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	TON, DC 20001-5303	3623				
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/668,296	HIRAHARA ET AL.	
		Examiner		
		Eric T. Shaffer	3623	Mu
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered time from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	March 2004 .		
2a)⊠		nis action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			he merits is
· _	Claim(s) 27 - 44 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 27 - 44 is/are rejected.		,	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2000</u> is/		•	
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` ,	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,,	proved by the Exami	ner.
12)[7	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• •		
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Adminor.		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)	
,—	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in priority under 55 5.5.5. § 11	<b>5(a)</b> -( <b>a) 6</b> (1).	
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		cation No.	
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ority documents have been recoureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this Nationa	l Stage
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisiona	al application)
	a)  The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
Attachmer	nt(s)		,	
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>(</u>	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper Nonal Patent Application (P	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is an initial Office Action upon examination of the above-identified application on the merits. Claims 27 - 44 are pending in this application.

# Summary of Instant Office Action

- 2. Applicant's amendment, filed March 3, 2004, concerning claims 1 44, have been considered and deemed unpersuasive.
- 3. The applicant has cancelled claims 1-26 and the applicant has added new claims 27-
- 44. Claims 27 44 are pending and prosecuted in the response set out below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 27 – 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant uses the term "relationships among the members of the group" in the claim language without providing a description in the specifications.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. Claims 27 - 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,296 Page 3

Art Unit: 3623

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

(1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and

(2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e. abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, invoke, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, the method claims of visiting plan generation method that receives inputting of information and stores information, does not specifically use a computer or computer operable medium. Specifically, claims 27 - 44 do not affect, effect, or are affected by technology, and thus do not recite statutory subject matter.

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 27 - 44 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

#### Response to Amendments

6. Applicant's arguments filed March 3, 2004, have been fully considered, but the same are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,296

Art Unit: 3623

a) Applicant argues that receiving input information teaches technology sufficient to overcome a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101. However, mere input of information into a system is passive. Unless the system process the data in some way, the input of data is a nominal recitation of technology. Incorporation of technology sufficient to overcome a rejection under 35 USC 101 is not taught merely by the input of information.

- b) Applicant argues that storing state information is sufficient to overcome a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101. However, mere input of information into a system is passive.

  Information can be stored by writing the data down on paper and storing the paper without the use of technology. Unless the system processes the data in some way, the input of data is a nominal recitation of technology. Incorporation of technology sufficient to overcome a rejection under 35 USC 101 is not taught merely by the storing of information.
- c) Applicant requests clarification of the rejection under 35 USC 102(e). This is a typographical error and the rejection is under 35 USC 103(a) as stated in the heading "Claim Rejections 35 USC 103" and defined in the "quotation from 35 USC 103(a)" in the beginning of the action.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3623

## Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**ETS** 

June 9, 2004